

MINUTES OF THE MEETING

I-710 TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE A Meeting Held at Gateway Cities COG Offices 7300 Alondra Blvd., Suite 201 Paramount, CA June 5, 2002

Chair Pagett called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT: Chair William Pagett, Bell Gardens, Cudahy, Maywood, Paramount; Carlos Alvarado, Bell; William McConnell, Commerce; Augustus Ajawara, Compton; Patrick Fu, Huntington Park; Don Dey, Long Beach; Mohammad Mostahkami, South Gate; Kevin Wilson, Vernon; Kerry Cartwright, Port of Long Beach; Al Bowser, SCAG; Sharas Bangalore, Caltrans; Joan Wood, MTA; Joe Vizcarra, CHP.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT: Desi Alvarez, Downey; Joe Wang, Lynwood; Sandra Balmir, FHWA/FTA.

OTHERS PRESENT: Bob Zarrilli, Commerce; Karin Hodin, MTA; Jack Joseph, Gateway Cities COG. Louis Rubenstein, Port of Long Beach; Kanya Dorland, Port of Los Angeles; Pat Smith, LACDPW; Maged El-Rabaa, LACDPW; Paul De Paola, CHP; John Zeigler, Auto Club of Southern California; Dave Levinsohn, PBQD; Julie Rush, PBQD; Paul Taylor, Kaku Associates; Steven Yoshizumi, PBQD; Marie Marston, Metropointe Engineers.

Roll was taken through self-introductions.

There were no amendments to the agenda.

There were no public comments.

Consent Calendar:

The consultant staff announced that the TAC minutes for March 20, 2002, May 15, 2002, May 22, 2002, and May 29, 2002, were not ready for adoption. It was the consensus of the I-710 Technical Advisory Committee to defer action on the aforementioned minutes until the next TAC meeting.

Reports:

A. TAC Recommendation for the Final Set of Alternatives

Julie Rush (PBQD) led a discussion among TAC members, continued from the May 29th TAC meeting, to develop a consensus on the three build alternatives to recommend for the Final Set of Alternatives. The results of the May 29th straw vote of the TAC, showing each member's top three alternatives, were presented. There was a spread of votes among the Initial Set of alternatives, with Alternatives 6, 8, 9, 10 and 11 garnering the most votes.

The TAC members were then asked to indicate which of these they thought might become their Locally Preferred Strategy at the conclusion of the Study, and these preferences were annotated on the straw vote tabulation. From this exercise, it was revealed that the I-710 mainline design concepts and scope from Alternative 6 (Medium General Purpose) and Alternative 9 (High Truck) had significant consensus support from the TAC. However, there was still a wide range of opinion for which mainline design concept should become the third build alternative in the Final Set.

After additional discussion among the TAC members present, it was the consensus of the TAC that the third build alternative be created by combining the mainline design concept and scope of Alternative 8 (High General Purpose) with Alternative 11 (High HOV). The Final Set adopted set included the following I-710 mainline design concepts and scopes:

1. No Build
2. TSM/TDM
3. Medium General Purpose/Medium Truck (Alternative 6)
4. High Truck (Alternative 9)
5. High General Purpose/High HOV (Alternatives 8 and 11)

Once the TAC reached consensus on the I-710 mainline design concepts and scopes for the Final Set of Alternatives, the next discussion focused on the completion of each alternative's full design concept and scope. The consultant team provided the TAC with a handout (see attached) which organized the remaining design elements into proposed packages. The discussion began to assess which design elements should be packaged with each of the three mainline design concepts. The TAC indicated that the following design elements were to be eliminated from further consideration in the Final Set:

- Goods Movement Strategies
- Truck-Only ITS
- Most of the direct HOV connectors
- Most of the direct truck ramps

- Partial redesign of I-5/I-710 interchange

Meeting time ran out before the TAC was able to complete consideration of a recommendation for the packaging of other improvements with the mainline design concepts and scopes to create the Final Set of Alternatives. It was the consensus of the TAC that the TAC reconvene next Wednesday afternoon, June 12th, at 3:00 p.m., to complete the development of the recommended Final Set of Alternatives. The consultant team was directed to develop proposed packaging of design elements with the final set of three build alternatives and to present them to the TAC at the June 12th meeting.

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned by consensus at 4:00 p.m.